arXiv:1510.00508v3 [quant-ph] 22 Apr 2016

A quantum system strongly coupled to a finite size reservoir: the case of a hybrid opto-mechanical device

Cyril Elouard and Alexia Auffèves[1,*]

[1] *CNRS and Université Grenoble Alpes, Institut Néel, F-38042 Grenoble, France*

We study the dynamics of a mechanical resonator parametrically coupled to a driven dissipative quantum emitter in the ultra-strong coupling regime. We show that this regime is fully compatible with a semi-classical treatment, and we derive master equations for the emitter and the resonator. We show that the fluctuations of the driven emitter's population induce the non-symmetrical scattering of the mechanical quadratures. At long timescales, such scattering back-acts on the emitter, which eventually decouples from the driving light. This optical noise at the quantum limit is observable with state of the art hybrid devices.

Coupling optical and mechanical degrees of freedom was first investigated in the pioneering field of cavity opto-mechanics, involving an electromagnetic resonator with a moving end-mirror coupled to a mechanical oscillator (MO). Optical drive of such systems have lead to efficient cooling of the MO [1, 2] down to the ground state [3, 4], opening the path to the manipulation of the quantum states of a macroscopic oscillator. More recently, a new class of systems has emerged where the opto-mechanical coupling is not mediated by a cavity, but by a single quantum emitter [5]. Such devices are now realized in a wide range of systems, e.g. with semiconductor quantum dots [6] or Nitrogen-Vacancy (NV) centers in diamonds [7] coupled to vibrating semiconductor nanowires, or superconducting qubits embedded in oscillating membranes [8, 9]. A major difference with cavity mediated opto-mechanical systems lies in the fact that the emitter's population is bounded to a single excitation: hybrid devices provide therefore natural platforms to investigate the "single-photon regime" of optomechanics, ruled by the non-linearized optomechanical interaction [10]. So far, most theoretical investigations of hybrid opto-mechanical systems have focused on the weak coupling regime [12–16] where the coupling to the quantum emitter could be treated using perturbative techniques. However, recent experimental realizations have reached the so-called ultra-strong coupling regime where the opto-mechanical strength $g_{\rm m}$ equals, or even overcomes the mechanical frequency Ω [6, 8]. Such regime opens stimulating perspectives for micro-macro entanglement, high precision sensing, or information thermodynamics [11]. Therefore extending former studies to describe the ultra-strong coupling regime becomes crucial.

In this Letter we focus on the case of a driven dissipative quantum emitter interacting with a mechanical oscillator in the ultra-strong coupling regime. We go beyond the semi-classical approximation and derive master equations for the emitter and the mechanical oscillator. At short times, we show that population fluctuations of the driven emitter are responsible for the scattering of the mechanical quadratures, eventually in a non-symmetric way. At long times, such scattering back-acts on the quantum emitter, which eventually decouples from the driving light source. Our modeling allows describing an unusual situation of quantum optics involving a finite size reservoir (the emitter), whose dynamics is sensitive to the back-action exerted by the quantum system (the mechanical oscillator).



FIG. 1: a) Hybrid system under study. A driven TLS of transition frequency ω_0 interacts with a heat bath (spontaneous emission rate γ) and is parametrically coupled to a MO (frequency Ω) with a strength $g_{\rm m}$. The coupling is taken adiabatic $\Omega, g_{\rm m} \ll \gamma$, and ultra-strong $g_{\rm m} \geq \Omega$. b) The TLS dressed by its heat bath behaves as a finite size reservoir for the MO: the TLS is responsible for the mechanical fluctuations with a rate $g_{\rm m}^2/\gamma$, but its frequency $\omega_0^{\rm st}(t)$ depends on the instantaneous mechanical state $|\phi_{\rm m}^{\rm st}(t)\rangle$ (See text). c) Average evolution of the hybrid system. Top: Mechanical complex amplitude. Bottom: TLS population. Simulation parameters: $\Omega/\gamma = 10^{-2}$, $g_{\rm m}/\gamma = 2\times10^{-2}$, $g/\gamma = 1$, $T_{\rm q} = 0$. The initial MO state is a coherent state of amplitude $\beta(0) = 10i$

The situation under study is depicted in Fig.1a: A two-level system (TLS) of frequency ω_0 is parametrically coupled to a mechanical oscillator (MO) of frequency Ω and driven quasi-resonantly by a classical monochromatic light source of frequency $\omega_L = \omega_0 - \delta_0$. δ_0 is the drive-TLS detuning. $H_{\rm q}(t) = \hbar\omega_0\Pi_e + \hbar g\cos(\omega_L t)\sigma_x$ is the

*Electronic address: alexia.auffeves@neel.cnrs.fr

Hamiltonian of the driven TLS, where $\Pi_e = |e\rangle\langle e|$ is the projector on the TLS excited state and g is the classical Rabi frequency. The MO dynamics is governed by the Hamiltonian $H_{\rm m} = \hbar\Omega\left(b^\dagger b + \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and the TLS-MO parametric coupling is $H_{\rm c} = \hbar g_{\rm m}\Pi_e(b^\dagger + b)$. Ω and b are respectively the mechanical frequency and the annihilation operator in the mechanical mode and $g_{\rm m}$ is the TLS-mechanical coupling strength. The total Hamiltonian for the system TLS + MO writes $H(t) = H_{\rm q}(t) + H_{\rm m} + H_{\rm c}$.

The TLS is also coupled to an electromagnetic heat bath at thermal equilibrium of temperature $T_{\rm q}$. If $g_{\rm m} = 0$, the TLS evolution is governed by the Lindblad equation $\dot\rho_{\rm q}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H_{\rm q}(t), \rho_{\rm q}] + \mathcal{L}_{\rm q}^{\delta_0}\rho_{\rm q}(t)$, where $\rho_{\rm q}$ is the density matrix of the TLS. $\mathcal{L}_{\rm q}^{\delta_0}$ is the Lindblad superoperator due to the coupling to the heat bath, obtained by coarse-graining the dynamics over a time $\Delta t_{\rm q}$ verifying $\gamma^{-1} \gg \Delta t_{\rm q} \gg \tau_{\rm q}$, where $\tau_{\rm q}$ is the correlation time of the bath and γ is the spontaneous emission rate. Following Redfield theory [20], this superoperator takes the generic form $\mathcal{L}_{\rm q}^{\delta_0} = \gamma(n_{\rm q}^{\delta_0}+1)D[\sigma_-]+\gamma n_{\rm q}^{\delta_0}D[\sigma_+]$, where $D[X]\rho = X\rho X^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}X^\dagger X\rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho X^\dagger X$, $n_{\rm q}^{\delta} = (e^{\hbar(\omega_L+\delta)/k_B T_{\rm q}} - 1)^{-1}$. Here we have neglected any eventual deviation from Bloch equations, which solely plays a significant role for very strong driving $\sqrt{g^2+\delta_0^2} \gtrsim \omega_0$, very high temperature $k_B T_{\rm q} \sim \hbar\omega_0$, or structured vacuum [8] (see Supplemental).

We now suppose the TLS and the MO interact in the adiabatic regime $\gamma \gg g_{\rm m} > 0$. The dynamics of the whole hybrid system can be coarse-grained on the same timescale $\Delta t_{\rm q}$. As before, the bath can be traced out; Moreover as $g_{\rm m}\Delta t_{\rm q} \ll 1$, the mechanical state can be taken as constant during a given time step. In particular, it does not entangle with the emitter, such that it can be described by a pure instantaneous state $|\phi_{\rm m}(t)\rangle$ on this timescale. As we show below, on longer timescales ($t \gg \gamma g_{\rm m}^{-2}$) this instantaneous state follows a stochastic evolution, due to the fluctuations induced by the TLS evolution. For now, we just notice that the MO acts as a classical external operator, that shifts the emitter's frequency by $\delta_{\rm m}(t) = g_{\rm m}\langle\phi_{\rm m}(t)|(b+b^\dagger)|\phi_{\rm m}(t)\rangle$ [11, 16, 18]. The reduced master equations for the the TLS and the total hybrid system in the Schrödinger picture write:

$$\dot\rho_{\rm q} = -\tfrac{i}{\hbar}[H_{\rm q}(t) + V_{\rm q}(t), \rho_{\rm q}(t)] + \mathcal{L}_{\rm q}^{\delta(t)}\rho_{\rm q}(t) \qquad (1)$$

$$\dot\rho = -\tfrac{i}{\hbar}[H(t), \rho(t)] + \left(\mathcal{L}_{\rm q}^{\delta(t)} \otimes 1_{\rm m}\right)[\rho(t)]. \qquad (2)$$

We have introduced the total detuning between the drive and the laser in the presence of the coupling to the MO $\delta(t) = \delta_0 + \delta_{\rm m}(t)$, the effective Hamiltonian acting on the TLS $V_{\rm q}(t) = \hbar\delta_{\rm m}(t)\Pi_e$ and the identity superoperator in the MO Hilbert space is denoted $1_{\rm m}$.

Eq.(2) is our starting point to investigate the reduced dynamics of the MO under the action of the driven dissipative TLS. Noticing that $\gamma \gg g_{\rm m}$, the evolution can be coarse-grained again, now over a typical time $\Delta t_{\rm m}$, such that $\gamma^{-1} \ll \Delta t_{\rm m} \ll \gamma g_{\rm m}^{-2}$. On this timescale, the TLS-MO correlations vanish and the density matrix of the coupled TLS-MO factorizes, $\rho = \rho_{\rm m} \otimes \rho_{\rm q}$. At first order in $g_{\rm m}$, the reduced MO dynamics is governed by the Von Neumann equation

$$\dot\rho_{\rm m}^0 = -\tfrac{i}{\hbar}[H_{\rm m} + V_{\rm m}(t), \rho_{\rm m}^0(t)]. \qquad (3)$$

We have defined the effective Hamiltonian acting on the MO $V_{\rm m}(t) = \hbar g_{\rm m} P_e(t)(b + b^\dagger)$, with $P_e(t) = {\rm Tr}[\rho_{\rm q}(t)\Pi_e]$ the mean excitation of the TLS. Eq.(3) describes a Hamiltonian evolution, which is consistent with the MO being described by a pure state on timescales $t \ll \gamma g_{\rm m}^{-2}$. Equations (1) and (3) are the semi-classical equations of the hybrid opto-mechanical system, which we have shown here to be valid, even in the ultra-strong coupling regime. Their physical interpretation is simple and pictured in Fig.1c when the initial state of the MO is a coherent state. On the one hand, the MO modulates the frequency of the TLS by $\delta_{\rm m}^0(t) = g_{\rm m}{\rm Tr}[\rho_m^0(t)(b + b^\dagger)]$: In the adiabatic regime considered here, this translates into the modulation of the TLS population, which therefore follows the steady-state solution of optical Bloch equations $P_e^\infty(\delta(t)) = (2 + (2\delta(t)/g)^2 + (\gamma/g)^2)^{-1}$. Reciprocally, the TLS exerts a force on the MO, which is fully analogous to the radiation pressure force in cavity mediated opto-mechanical setups. As it appears in the figure, this translates into a shift of the mechanical rest position: This is a signature of the ultra-strong coupling regime, where a single excitation in the quantum emitter has a noticeable imprint on the mechanical state.

We now investigate the fluctuations induced by the TLS on the MO, which become non negligible after a typical time $t \gg \gamma g_{\rm m}^{-2}$ and quantify the deviation from semi-classical behaviour. Our strategy is inspired by the projection method of Zwanzig [19], which was initially developed for a reservoir with large Hilbert space and Hamiltonian free evolution. We have adapted this method to the present situation where the dissipative TLS plays the role of the reservoir. In this case, the TLS's spectral width is due to its coupling to the heat bath and to the dissipative nature of its free evolution. In this spirit, we split the Hamiltonian into two components $H(t) = H_0(t) + \delta V(t)$, where we have defined $H_0(t) = H_{\rm q}(t)+V_{\rm q}(t)+H_{\rm m}+V_{\rm m}(t)$ and $\delta V(t) = \hbar g_{\rm m}/x_0\delta\Pi_e(t)\delta X(t)$. We have introduced $\delta\Pi_e(t) = \Pi_e - P_e(t)$, $X = x_0(b+b^\dagger)$ with x_0 the zero point fluctuation of the MO, and $\delta X(t) = X - {\rm Tr}_{\rm m}[X\rho_{\rm m}^0(t)]$. δV has been defined up to a constant factor which plays no role in the dynamics. The terms $H_0(t)$ and $\left(\mathcal{L}_{\rm q}^{\delta(t)} \otimes 1_{\rm m}\right)$ of Eq.(2) give rise to the semi-classical evolution, while δV creates correlations between the TLS and the MO responsible for the mechanical fluctuations. By considering the interaction picture with respect to the semi-classical evolution, and tracing over the TLS (See Supplemental), we end up with

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm m} = -\tfrac{i}{\hbar}\left[H_{\rm m}+V_{\rm m}(t),\rho_{\rm m}\right] + S_0(t)\left(D[b]+D[b^\dagger]\right)\rho_{\rm m} + S_2(t)A[b]\rho_{\rm m} + S_2(t)^* A[b^\dagger]\rho_{\rm m}, \quad (4)$$

where we have defined the superoperator $A[X]\rho = X\rho X - \frac{1}{2}X^2\rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho X^2$. The terms of the form $S_k(t) = \frac{2}{\Delta t_{\rm m}}\int_t^{t+\Delta t_{\rm m}} dt' {\rm Re}S_{t'}(0)e^{-ki\Omega t'}$ involve the spectral noise density of the TLS population $S_t(\omega) = g_{\rm m}^2\int_0^\infty d\tau G_{\rm q}(t,t-\tau)e^{i\omega\tau}$, with $G_{\rm q}(t',t'') = {\rm Tr}_{\rm q}[\delta\Pi_e(t')K_{\rm q}(t',t'')\delta\Pi_e(t'')\rho_{\rm q}(t'')]$. $K_{\rm q}(t,t_0) = \mathcal{T}[\exp(\int_{t_0}^t du L_{\rm q}^{\delta(u)})]$ is a trace-preserving super-operator generating the dissipative evolution of the TLS, where $\mathcal{T}$ is the time-ordering operator. The typical correlation time of the TLS population corresponds to γ^{-1}. Note that in the standard picture of weak coupling and large reservoirs, $S_t(\omega)$ is time-independent, such that $S_2 = 0$ and S_0 quantifies the spectral density of noise resonant with the MO transitions [20]. Reciprocally, the time dependence of $S_0(t)$ and $S_2(t)$ provides another striking signature of the ultra-strong coupling regime under study. Taking finally into account the coupling of the MO to a thermal bath of temperature $T_{\rm m}$ and correlation time $\tau_{\rm m}$, a standard thermal Lindblad equation can be derived and added to Eq.(12), provided that $\Delta t_{\rm m} \gg \tau_{\rm m}$. Some extra work has to be done to put the complete master equation under a Lindblad form (See Supplemental and [1]), yielding:

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm m} = -\tfrac{i}{\hbar}\left[H_{\rm m}+V_{\rm m}(t),\rho_{\rm m}\right] + \lambda_-(t)D[b_-]\rho_{\rm m} + \lambda_+(t)D[b_+]\rho_{\rm m}. \quad (5)$$

The parameters $\lambda_\pm$ are the two eigenvalues of $h(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma(n_{\rm m}+1)+S_0(t) & S_2(t) \\ S_2(t)^* & \Gamma n_{\rm m}+S_0(t)\end{pmatrix}$. We have introduced Γ the MO damping rate in the heat bath, and $n_{\rm m} = (e^{\hbar\Omega/k_BT_{\rm m}}-1)^{-1}$ the mean number of thermal phonons. In addition, the operators $b_\pm$ are defined with respect to the normalized eigenvectors $\vec{v}_\pm$ of h, $b_\pm = \vec{v}_\pm\cdot\begin{pmatrix} b \\ b^\dagger\end{pmatrix}$. The well-known weak coupling regime of small $g_{\rm m}$ corresponds to $\Gamma \gg S_0, |S_2|$, such that $b_\pm \sim b, b^\dagger$: The TLS slightly modifies the effective heat bath's parameters, but the nature of the relaxation remains thermal [14]. Note that in the adiabatic regime under study, $S_0 > 0$ such that no cooling can be realized by driving the TLS (See Supplemental).

More interestingly, Eq.(5) allows describing a less explored situation characterized by $g_{\rm m}^2/\gamma \gg \Gamma, n_{\rm m}\Gamma$ where the TLS induced mechanical fluctuations largely overcome the Brownian motion. Introducing $\theta(t) = -\frac{1}{2}{\rm Arg}(S_2(t))$, we have $b_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\pm e^{-i\theta(t)}b + e^{i\theta(t)}b^\dagger\right)$. The operators b_+ and b_- verifying $x_0 b_+ = X_\theta$ and $(i/x_0)b_- = P_\theta$ are proportional to the mechanical quadratures twisted by θ. We can rewrite the TLS-induced Lindbladian $\mathcal{L}_{\rm m} = -(\lambda_+/2x_0^2)[X_\theta,[X_\theta,\rho_{\rm m}]] - (\lambda_- x_0^2/2)[P_\theta,[P_\theta,\rho_{\rm m}]]$, where $\lambda_\pm = S_0 \pm |S_2|$. It corresponds to a scattering of the quadrature P_θ at a rate $\lambda_+/2$ (resp. of X_θ at a rate $\lambda_-/2$). Such scattering is the back-action of the emission and absorption of photons by the TLS dressed by the driving light [22]. Note that driving a quantum emitter coupled to a MO allows performing a QND measurement of the mechanical position, which can be inferred from the frequency of the emitted photons. In this picture, the TLS induced noise appears as a fundamental limit of detection sensitivity, just like radiation pressure in cavity opto-mechanics [20]. Owing to the ultra-strong coupling regime, this noise remarkably takes non-negligible values with less than one excitation in the quantum emitter. The conditions to observe the different types of noise (Brownian or TLS induced) are summarized in Fig.2. As it appears in the figure, entering the regime of TLS induced noise is within reach current technologies.



FIG. 2: The different kinds of noise as a function of the mean number of thermal phonons $n_{\rm m}$ and of the baths' coupling rates $\Gamma/(g_{\rm m}^2/\gamma)$. The mechanical relaxation is governed by the TLS for $\Gamma, \Gamma n_{\rm m} \leq g_{\rm m}^2/\gamma$. For weak coupling $\Gamma \geq g_{\rm m}^2/\gamma$, and $n_{\rm m} \leq 1$, the driven TLS solely perturbs the bath parameters (effective thermal noise). Otherwise the influence of the TLS is negligible (thermal noise). '+' and 'o' correspond to experiments [6] and [7] respectively, with $g_{\rm m}/2\pi =$ 100kHz, $\gamma/2\pi =$ 7MHz, $\Omega/2\pi =$ 1MHz, $\Gamma/2\pi =$ 100Hz , $T_{\rm m} = T_{\rm q} =$ 300K ('o'); $g_{\rm m}/2\pi =$ 450kHz, $\gamma/2\pi =$ 157GHz, $\Omega/2\pi =$ 530 kHz, $\Gamma/2\pi =$ 177 Hz , $T_{\rm m} = T_{\rm q} =$ 5K ('+'). The point '*' is estimated for the experiment [6] for $g_{\rm m} \to 10 g_{\rm m}$, $T_{\rm m} = T_{\rm q} =$ 20mK, and $\Omega =$ 1MHz, which is within reach current technologies.

At first sight, the TLS induced noise seems to lead to an infinite heating of the MO, which is thermodynamically inconsistent. Actually, over long timescales $t \gg \gamma g_m^{-2}$ the mechanical fluctuations randomize the TLS frequency, which reduces its coupling to the driving source. Eventually, fluorescence cycles and mechanical fluctuations naturally switch off. To model the mechanical evolution on such timescales, we adopt a trajectory based approach (See Supplemental): At each time t, the MO is in a stochastic pure state $|\phi_{\rm m}^{\rm st}(t)\rangle$, which is the solution of a stochastic Schrödinger equation derived by



FIG. 3: a) Stochastic part of the mechanical complex amplitude $\delta\beta_{\rm st}$ during 2000 mechanical periods, in the frame rotating at mechanical frequency Ω. b) Evolution of the scattering rates λ_+ (red) and λ_- (black). The operators are time-independent and verify $b_+ \simeq X$, $b_- \simeq P$. c) Probability distribution of the mechanically induced detuning $\delta_{\rm m}^{\rm st}$ after a stochastic trajectory $|\phi_{\rm m}^{\rm st}(t)\rangle$, for a duration t verifying $\Omega t/2\pi = 100$ (red dashed), $\Omega t/2\pi = 1000$ (blue solid), $\Omega t/2\pi = 2000$ (purple dash-dotted). d) Population $P_e(t)$ of the TLS during the 100th (red dashed), 1000th (blue solid), and 2000th (purple dash-dotted) mechanical oscillation. Simulation parameters: $\Omega/\gamma = 10^{-2}$, $g_{\rm m}/\gamma = 5\,10^{-3}$, $g/\gamma = 1$, $n_{\rm m} = 100$, $\Gamma/\gamma = 10^{-10}$. The initial MO state is a coherent state of amplitude $\beta(0) = 200i$.

unravelling Eq.(5) [23]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d|\phi_{\rm m}(t)\rangle = &-\frac{idt}{\hbar}\left(H_{\rm m} + V_{\rm m}(t)\right)|\phi_{\rm m}(t)\rangle \\
&- dt\left(\lambda_-(t)b_-^\dagger b_- + \lambda_+(t)b_+^\dagger b_+\right)|\phi_{\rm m}(t)\rangle \\
&+ dt\left(\lambda_-(t)\langle b_-(t)\rangle b_- + \lambda_+(t)\langle b_+(t)\rangle b_+\right)|\phi_{\rm m}(t)\rangle \\
&+ \sqrt{\lambda_-(t)}dW_-(t)(b_- - \langle b_-(t)\rangle)|\phi_{\rm m}(t)\rangle \\
&+ \sqrt{\lambda_+(t)}dW_+(t_0)(b_+ - \langle b_+(t)\rangle)|\phi_{\rm m}(t)\rangle, \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $dW_\pm(t)$ are two independent complex normalized Wiener increments. These fluctuations are such that the average of $|\phi_{\rm m}^{\rm st}\rangle\langle\phi_{\rm m}^{\rm st}|$ over the realizations of $dW_\pm(t)$ gives the solution of Eq.(5). At each time t, the scattering parameters $\lambda_\pm(t)$ are computed, knowing the instantaneous mechanical state $|\phi_{\rm m}^{\rm st}(t)\rangle$. The TLS-induced scattering is illustrated in Fig.3, for a MO initially prepared in a coherent state of 1 phonon. We have plotted the stochastic part of the complex mechanical amplitude $\delta\beta_{\rm st}(t) = \langle\phi_{\rm m}^{\rm st}(t)|b|\phi_{\rm m}^{\rm st}(t)\rangle - {\rm Tr}\{\rho_m^0(t)b\}$. As it appears in the figure, the MO evolution features a random walk in phase space, leading to the heating of the mechanics. The parameters are chosen such that $b_\pm \sim X, P$ ($\theta = 0$) and $\lambda_+ \gg \lambda_-$ (Fig.3b). The spectral wandering of the TLS emission line is quantified by the stochastic part of the mechanically induced detuning $\delta_{\rm m}^{\rm st}(t) = g_{\rm m}(\delta\beta_{\rm st}(t) + \delta\beta_{\rm st}{}^*(t))$, such that the TLS transition frequency writes $\omega_0^{\rm st}(t) = \omega_0 + \delta_{\rm m}^0(t) + \delta_{\rm m}^{\rm st}(t)$ (Fig.1). The probability distribution of $\delta_{\rm m}^{\rm st}$ after a trajectory is represented in Fig.3c for different durations of trajectories, clearly showing the broadening of the distribution as time increases. As a consequence the TLS spends less and less time on resonance with the driving source (Fig.3d), and the scattering rates decrease (Fig.3b). Decoupling from the laser and extinction of the scattering process is expected for large detunings $\delta_{\rm m}^{\rm st} \gg \gamma, g$.

Our model evidences that a hybrid opto-mechanical system in the ultra-strong coupling regime can be described by semi-classical equations, provided that the TLS is strongly dissipative. Beyond the semi-classical regime, the TLS induced mechanical fluctuations either feature an effective thermal bath (small $g_{\rm m}$), or lead to the non symmetrical scattering of the MO quadratures (large $g_{\rm m}$). The latter is the equivalent of the radiation pressure noise in cavity opto-mechanics, which remarkably takes here non negligible values for less than one excitation in the quantum emitter. The TLS induced noise causes noticeable deviations from the semi-classical description over timescales $t \gg \gamma/g_m^2$. Our study allows to simulate this deviation using a stochastic Schrödinger equation, which is a precious tool to describe the TLS and MO fluctuating observables. These quantities are especially relevant to investigate the quantum limit of sensing in the context of hybrid opto-mechanics, and to perform further thermodynamic studies, e.g. fluctuation theorems [24], or nano-heat engines based on opto-mechanical devices [25–27]. Finally, the method presented here is a quite general one allowing to treat the case of any quantum system in strong interaction with a finite size reservoir, where both dynamics are coupled.

Acknowledgments

The authors warmly thank Gerard Milburn and Daniel Valente for their advices and support. AA acknowledges the support of the ANR-13-JCJC "INCAL" and the COST ActionMP1209.

[1] O. Arcizet, P.-F. Cohadon, T. Briant, M. Pinard, M and A. Heidmann, Nature 444, 71 (2006).
[2] S. Gigan, H. R. Böhm, M. Paternostro, F. Blaser, G. Langer, J. B. Hertzberg, K. C. Schwab, D. Bäuerle, M. Aspelmeyer & A. Zeilinger, Nature 444, 67 (2006).
[3] J. D. Teufel, T. Donner, Dale Li, J. W. Harlow, M. S.

Allman, K. Cicak, A. J. Sirois, J. D. Whittaker, K. W. Lehnert & R. W. Simmonds, Nature 475, 359 (2011).
[4] J. Chan, T. P. Mayer Alegre, A. H. Safavi-Naeini, J. T. Hill, A. Krause, S. Grblacher, M. Aspelmeyer & O. Painter, Nature 478, 89 (2011).
[5] P. Treutlein, C. Genes, K. Hammerer, M. Poggio, P. Rabl, Hybrid Mechanical Systems in Cavity Optomechanics, ed. Markus Aspelmeyer, Tobias J. Kippenberg and Florian Marquardt (2012).
[6] I. Yeo, P-L. de Assis, A. Gloppe, E. Dupont-Ferrier, P. Verlot, N.S. Malik, E. Dupuy, J. Claudon, J-M. Gérard, A. Auffèves, G. Nogues, S. Seidelin, J-P. Poizat, O. Arcizet, and M. Richard, Nature Nanotechnology9, 106 (2014)
[7] O. Arcizet, V. Jacques, A. Siria, P. Poncharal, P. Vincent, S. Seidelin, Nature Physics 7, 879 (2011).
[8] J.-M. Pirkkalainen, S. U. Cho, Jian Li, G. S. Paraoanu, P. J. Hakonen & M. A. Sillanpää , Nature 494, 211 (2013).
[9] M-D. LaHaye, J. Suh, P-M. Echternach, K.C Schwab, M.L. Roukes, Nature459, 960 (2009).
[10] A. Nunnenkamp, K. Borkje, and S. M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. A 85, 05180 (R).
[11] C. Elouard, M. Richard and A. Auffèves, New Journal of Physics 17, 5, 055018 (2015).
[12] Y.D. Wang, Y. Li, Yong, F. Xue, C. Bruder, K. Semba, Phys. Rev. B 80, 44508 (2009).
[13] I. Wilson-Rae, P. Zoller, and A. Imamoglu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 075507 (2004).
[14] P. Rabl, , Phys. Rev . B 82, 165320 (2010).
[15] K. Jaehne, K. Hammerer, M. Wallquist, New Journal of Physics 10, 095019 (2008).
[16] A. Auffèves, and M. Richard, Phys. Rev. A 90, 023818 (2014).
[17] K. W. Murch, U. Vool, D. Zhou, S. J. Weber, S. M. Girvin, and I. Siddiqi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 183602 (2012).
[18] V. Puller, B. Lounis, F. Pistolesi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 125501 (2013).
[19] R. Zwanzig, Memory Effects in Irreversible Thermodynamics, *Physical Review* **124**, 983 (1961)
[20] A. A. Clerk, M. H. Devoret, S. M. Girvin, Florian Marquardt, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1155 (2010).
[21] H.-P. Breuer, F. Petruccione, The Theory of Open Quantum Systems, Oxford University Press (2002).
[22] Cohen-Tannoudji, Claude, Atom-Photon Interactions: Basic Processes and Applications, American Journal of Physics 61, 572 (1993).
[23] M.B. Plenio, P.L. Knight, The quantum-jump approach to dissipative dynamics in quantum optics, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70**, 1, 101-144 (1998).
[24] C. Jarzynski, Nonequilibrium Equality for Free Energy Differences, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997).
[25] D. Gelbwaser-Klimovsky, R. Alicki, and G. Kurizki, Phys. Rev. E 87, 012140 (2013).
[26] K. Zhang, F. Bariani, and P. Meystre, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 150602
[27] A. Dechant, N. Kiesel, and E. Lutz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 183602 (2015).

Strong coupling of a quantum system to a finite size reservoir: the case of a hybrid opto-mechanical system
Supplemental

I. MECHANICAL MASTER EQUATION

1. Zwanzig projection operator method

In this section we derive the master equation (4) of the main text. We start from the evolution of the hybrid system (2) of the main text, which we write in the interaction picture with respect to the Hamiltonian $H_0(t)$:

$$\dot{\tilde{\rho}} = L_t^0[\tilde{\rho}] + L_t^1[\tilde{\rho}]. \tag{7}$$

We have introduced the semi-classical Lindbladian $L_t^0[\tilde{\rho}] = \mathcal{L}_{\rm q}^{\delta(t)} \otimes 1_{\rm m}$ and $L_t^1[\tilde{\rho}] = -i[\delta\tilde{V}(t), \tilde{\rho}]$, which creates correlations between the TLS and the MO. The equation $\dot{\tilde{\rho}} = L_t^0[\tilde{\rho}]$ can be formally integrated, yielding $\tilde{\rho}(t) = K(t,t_0)\tilde{\rho}(t_0)$, where $K(t,t_0) = \mathcal{T}[\exp(\int_{t_0}^t du L_u^0)]$ is a trace-preserving super-operator and $\mathcal{T}$ is the time-ordering operator ($K = K_{\rm q} \otimes 1_{\rm m}$).

The deviation with respect to the semi-classical evolution is treated by defining $\bar{\rho}$ as $K(t,0)\bar{\rho}(t) = \tilde{\rho}(t)$, such that the master equation for the hybrid system writes $\dot{\bar{\rho}} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}K^{-1}(t,0)[\delta\tilde{V}(t), K(t,0)\bar{\rho}]$. Defining $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}_{\rm fact} + \delta\bar{\rho}$, with $\bar{\rho}_{\rm fact} = \bar{\rho}_{\rm q} \otimes \bar{\rho}_{\rm m}$, we obtain eventually

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\rm q}\{\dot{\bar{\rho}}_{\rm fact}\} = -\tfrac{i}{\hbar}\mathrm{Tr}_{\rm q}\left\{K^{-1}(t,0)[\delta\tilde{V}(t), K(t,0)\delta\bar{\rho}]\right\} \tag{8}$$

$$\delta\dot{\bar{\rho}} = -\tfrac{i}{\hbar}K^{-1}(t,0)[\delta\tilde{V}(t), K(t,0)\bar{\rho}_{\rm fact}]. \tag{9}$$

Eq.(9) is defined up to first order in δV. Note that terms in first order in $\delta\tilde{V}$ vanish in (8) as they have zero trace over the TLS subspace. Eq. (9) is formally integrated and injected into (8), to give an expression close to the familiar precursor for master equation:

$$\Delta\bar{\rho}_{\rm fact} = -\tfrac{1}{\hbar^2}\int_t^{t+\Delta t_{\rm m}} dt' \int_t^{t'} dt'' \big[\delta\tilde{V}(t'), K(t',t'')\big[\delta\tilde{V}(t''), K(t'',0)\bar{\rho}_{\rm fact}(t'')\big]\big], \tag{10}$$

where $\Delta\bar{\rho}_{\rm fact} = \bar{\rho}_{\rm fact}(t+\Delta t_{\rm m}) - \bar{\rho}_{\rm fact}(t)$. To obtain (10), we have used $K(t',0)K^{-1}(t'',0) = K(t',t'')$. To derive a master equation acting on the MO, we trace over the TLS degrees of freedom. We obtain integrands of the form $G_{\rm q}(t',t'')\delta\tilde{X}(t')\delta\tilde{X}(t'')\tilde{\rho}_{\rm m}(t'')$, where we have defined the two-times correlation function of the TLS induced noise $G_{\rm q}(t',t'') = \mathrm{Tr}_{\rm q}[\delta\Pi_e(t')K(t',t'')\delta\Pi_e(t'')\rho_{\rm q}(t'')]$: Because of the damping induced by the TLS bath, the two-time correlator of $\delta\Pi_e$ appearing in the trace has a typical correlation time given by γ^{-1}. As we have chosen $\Delta t_{\rm m} \gg \gamma^{-1}$, we can introduce the variable $\tau = t'-t''$ and extend its interval of integration to $[0;+\infty]$.

We now consider the second part of the integrand $\delta\tilde{X}(t')\delta\tilde{X}(t'')\tilde{\rho}_{\rm m}(t'')$. This term can simply be rewritten $(\delta b e^{-i\Omega t'} + \delta b^\dagger e^{i\Omega t'})(\delta b e^{-i\Omega(t'-\tau)} + \delta b^\dagger e^{i\Omega(t'-\tau)})\tilde{\rho}_{\rm m}(t'')$, where we have defined $\delta b = b - \mathrm{Tr}\{\rho_m^0 b\}$. Gathering the terms $e^{\pm i\Omega\tau}$ and $G_{\rm q}(t',t'-\tau)$ we define the fluctuation spectrum $S_t(\omega) = g_{\rm m}^2\int_0^\infty d\tau G_{\rm q}(t,t-\tau)e^{i\omega\tau}$. Remembering that $\Omega \ll \gamma$ and that $G_{\rm q}(t,t-\tau)$ is zero for $\tau \gg \gamma^{-1}$, we can approximate $S_t(\pm\Omega)$ by $S_t(0)$. Taking now into account all the terms from the double commutator in (10), and coming back to the Schrödinger picture, we eventually find Eq.(4) of the main text:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\rho}_{\rm m} = &-\tfrac{i}{\hbar}\left[H_{\rm m} + V_{\rm m}(t), \rho_{\rm m}\right] + S_0\left(D[b] + D[b^\dagger]\right)\rho_{\rm m} \\ &+ S_2 A[b]\rho_{\rm m} + S_2^* A[b^\dagger]\rho_{\rm m},\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where we have defined $S_0 = \frac{2}{\Delta t_{\rm m}}\int_t^{t+\Delta t_{\rm m}} dt' \mathrm{Re}S_{t'}(0)$, $S_2 = \frac{2}{\Delta t_{\rm m}}\int_t^{t+\Delta t_{\rm m}} dt' \mathrm{Re}S_{t'}(0)e^{-2i\Omega t'}$ and the superoperator $A[X]\rho = X\rho X - \frac{1}{2}X^2\rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho X^2$. Note that in order to cancel the fast time-dependence in the non-unitary terms in (12), we have to choose $\Delta t_{\rm m} \geq (2\pi/\Omega)$, which requires $g_{\rm m}^2/\gamma \ll \Omega$.

If the MO is also coupled to a thermal bath, the same derivation allows to derive the usual Lindbladian term[4] that has to be added to Eq.(12). Finally we have $\dot{\rho}_{\rm m} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\left[H_{\rm m} + V_{\rm m}(t), \rho_{\rm m}\right] + \mathcal{L}_{\rm m}(t)\rho_{\rm m}(t)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rm m}(t)\rho_{\rm m}(t) = &(\Gamma(n_{\rm m}+1) + S_0)D[b]\rho_{\rm m} \\ &+ (\Gamma n_{\rm m} + S_0)D[b^\dagger]\rho_{\rm m} \\ &+ S_2 A[b]\rho_{\rm m} + S_2^* A[b^\dagger]\rho_{\rm m},\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where Γ is the damping rate of the MO and $n_{\rm m}$ the number of thermal phonons.

2. Derivation of the Lindblad form

The non-unitary part $\mathcal{L}_{\rm m}(t)$ in master equation (12) can be written

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm m}(t)\rho = \sum_{(i,j)=(1,2)} h_{ij}\left(a_i\rho a_j^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}a_j^\dagger a_i\rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho a_j^\dagger a_i\right). \tag{13}$$

We have defined the matrix $h = (h_{ij})_{i,j\in\{1,2\}} = \begin{pmatrix}\Gamma(n_{\rm m}+1)+S_0 & S_2 \\ S_2^* & \Gamma n_{\rm m} + S_0\end{pmatrix}$ and the operators $a_1 = b$ and $a_2 = b^\dagger$. This superoperator is reduced to a Lindblad form by diagonalizing the matrix h [1]. We find the eigenvalues $\lambda_\pm$ associated with the eigenvectors $\vec{v}_\pm$ checking:

$$\lambda_\pm = \Gamma\left(n_{\rm m} + \frac{1}{2}\right) + S_0 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\Gamma^2 + 4|S_2|^2} \tag{14}$$

$$\vec{v}_\pm = \frac{1}{N_\pm}\begin{pmatrix}\Gamma \pm \sqrt{\Gamma^2 + 4|S_2|^2} \\ 2S_2^*\end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$



FIG. 4: Characteristics of effective thermal noise induced by the TLS on the MO: Shift of the number of thermal phonons $\delta n_{\rm m} = n'_{\rm m} - n_{\rm m}$ (left) and shift of the damping rate of the MO $\delta\Gamma = \Gamma' - \Gamma$ (center) as a function of the amplitude of the TLS frequency shift (in g units), i.e. $\delta_{\rm m}^{\rm max}/g = g_{\rm m}|\beta|_{\rm max}/g$. Right: real part of the TLS fluctuation spectrum at time t as a function of $\delta(t)$. Parameters: $g/\gamma = 10$, $\Omega/\gamma = 10^{-3}$, $g_{\rm m} = 10^{-3}$, $\Gamma/\gamma = 10^{-6}$, $\delta_0 = 0$, $n_m = 10^4$.

with a normalization factor

$$N_\pm = \sqrt{4|S|^2 + (\Gamma \pm \sqrt{\Gamma^2 + 4|S_2|^2})^2}. \tag{16}$$

The general Linblad form of master equation (12) is therefore equation (8) of the main text with

$$b_\pm = \vec{v}_\pm \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b \\ b^\dagger \end{pmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

II. WEAK COUPLING REGIME : EFFECTIVE THERMAL NOISE

In this part we consider the limit $\Gamma \gg S_0, |S_2|$. This is in particular valid if $g_{\rm m}^2/\gamma \ll \Gamma$. In that limit, the TLS only slightly changes the non-unitary dynamics induced by the MO environment. We have

$$b_+ = b + \frac{S_2^*}{\Gamma} b^\dagger \simeq b \tag{18}$$

$$b_- = -\frac{|S_2|}{\Gamma} b + \frac{S_2^*}{|S_2|} b^\dagger \simeq e^{-2i\theta} b^\dagger \tag{19}$$

$$\lambda_+ = S_0 + \Gamma\,(n_{\rm m} + 1) + \frac{|S_2|^2}{\Gamma} \tag{20}$$

$$\lambda_- = S_0 + \Gamma n_{\rm m} - \frac{|S_2|^2}{\Gamma}. \tag{21}$$

The evolution equation for the average phonon number $N = \langle b^\dagger b \rangle$ writes

$$\frac{d}{dt} N = {\rm Tr}\{b^\dagger b \dot{\rho}_{\rm m}\} = -\Gamma'(t)(N - n'_{\rm m}(t)), \tag{22}$$

where we have defined the effective damping rate $\Gamma'(t)$ and the effective MO thermal population $n'_{\rm m}(t)$, related to the corresponding parameters in the absence of the TLS:

$$\Gamma'(t) = \Gamma + 2\frac{|S_2(t)|^2}{\Gamma} \tag{23}$$

$$n'_{\rm m}(t) = n_{\rm m} + \frac{S_0(t)}{\Gamma}. \tag{24}$$

In the absence of laser drive, $S_2 = 0$ and thus only the steady-state population of the MO is modified. The value of $\delta n_{\rm m} = n'_{\rm m} - n_{\rm m}$ and of $\delta\Gamma = \Gamma' - \Gamma$ are plotted in Fig.4 in the case of zero temperature and strong driving laser with Rabi frequency $g \gg \gamma$.

III. NUMERICAL IMPLEMENTATION IN THE ADIABATIC LIMIT

In order to compute the joint evolution of the MO and the TLS over timescales larger than $\gamma g_{\rm m}^{-2}$, we implement the algorithm pictured in Fig.5: It involves the iteration of two steps:

1. Solve the semi-classical dynamics for the TLS (Eq.(1) of main text) between $[t_{\rm m}, t_{\rm m} + \Delta t_{\rm m}]$, deduce $S_0(t_{\rm m})$, $S_2(t_{\rm m})$ and then $\lambda_\pm(t_m)$, $b_\pm(t_m)$. This requires to know the mechanical-induced detuning $\delta_{\rm m}(t)$ around $t = t_{\rm m}$.

2. Integrate the stochastic Schrödinger equation (Eq. (6) of main text) between time $t_{\rm m}$ and $t_{\rm m} + \Delta t_{\rm m}$ using the values of $\lambda_\pm(t_m)$, $b_\pm(t_m)$ to find $\delta_{\rm m}(t) = g_{\rm m}{\rm Re}(\beta(t))$: This is the input to iterate step 1.

The numerical implementation of each step is detailed below.

Step 1: TLS evolution

The MO is supposed to be in a pure state $|\phi_{\rm m}(t_{\rm m})\rangle$. Knowing the mechanical induced detuning $\delta_{\rm m}(t)$, we can compute the spectrum $S_t(0)$ defined by

$$S_t(0) = \int_0^\infty d\tau G_{\rm q}(t, t-\tau). \tag{25}$$

We recall that $G_{\rm q}(t, t-\tau)$ takes non negligible values over timescales $\gamma^{-1} \ll \Omega^{-1}$ (adiabatic regime), and that $\delta_{\rm m}(t)$



FIG. 5: Symbolic representation of the two-step loop enabling to compute the dynamics of the optomechanical system.

varies over timescales Ω^{-1}. S_t is then identified to the steady-state TLS fluctuation spectrum corresponding to the detuning $\delta(t) = \delta_0 + \delta_{\rm m}(t)$. This fluctuation spectrum is computed using the Quantum Regression Theorem [4]:

$$S_t(\omega) = -\frac{g_{\rm m}^2}{4}(1,0,0).[i\omega\mathbb{I} + A(t)]^{-1}\vec{G}(t), \tag{26}$$

where

$$A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma & -ig & ig \\ -ig/2 & i\delta(t) - \gamma/2 & 0 \\ ig/2 & 0 & -i\delta(t) - \gamma/2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\vec{G}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - (\langle\sigma_z(t)\rangle^\infty)^2 \\ -\langle\sigma_+(t)\rangle^\infty)(1 + \langle\sigma_z(t)\rangle^\infty)) \\ \langle\sigma_-(t)\rangle^\infty)(1 - \langle\sigma_z(t)\rangle^\infty)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have introduced the Pauli matrices σ_r, with $r = +, -, z$. The superscript ∞ indicates that we use the steady state values of Eq. (1) of the main text. We find eventually:

$$\mathrm{Re}\left[S_t(0)\right] = \frac{g_{\rm m}^2 g^2(4\delta(t)^2 + \gamma^2)(g^2 + 2\gamma^2)}{\gamma(4\delta(t)^2 + 2g^2 + \gamma^2)^3}. \tag{27}$$

Note that $\mathrm{Re}[S_t(0)]$ and therefore S_0 is positive in the adiabatic regime under study, such that the mechanics cannot be cooled down by driving the system (See Eq. (24)). The same situation is encountered in cavity optomechanics, where cooling can only be realized if the mechanics evolves faster than the relaxation time of the optical mode.

2. Stochastic evolution of the MO

The stochastic equation evolution for $\beta = \langle\phi_{\rm m}^{\rm st}|b|\phi_{\rm m}^{\rm st}\rangle$ deduced from Eq.(6) of the main text writes:

$$\begin{aligned} d\beta =& -i\Omega dt\beta - ig_{\rm m}P_e(t)dt \\ &- \sum_{s=\pm}\lambda_s dt(|v_s(1)|^2 - |v_s(2)|^2)\beta \\ &+ \sum_{s=\pm}\sqrt{\lambda_s}dW_s\Big((v_s(1)^* + v_s(2))V_{\rm a}(t) \\ &\quad + (v_s(1) + v_s(2)^*)V_{\rm b}(t)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

We have introduced $v_s(i)$, $i = 1, 2$, the coefficients of the eigenvectors $\vec{v}_s$, $s = \pm$ of the matrix h, and the variances $V_{\rm a} = \langle b^\dagger b\rangle - |\beta|^2$ and $V_{\rm b} = \langle b^2\rangle - \beta^2$ ruled by the evolution equations:

$$\dot{V}_a = -\sum_{s=\pm}\lambda_s(|v_s(1)|^2 - |v_s(2)|^2)V_a + \sum_{s=\pm}\lambda_s|v_s(2)|^2 \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_b =& -2i\Omega V_b - \sum_{s=\pm}\lambda_s(|v_s(1)|^2 - |v_s(2)|^2)V_b \\ &- \sum_{s=\pm}v_s(1)v_s(2)^*. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Note that we neglect here the influence of the fluctuations of the variances V_a and V_b on the fluctuations of β.

IV. REDFIELD THEORY FOR A DRIVEN TLS

In this section we derive the Lindblad equation for the driven TLS weakly coupled to a heat bath at thermal equilibrium, in the absence of coupling to the MO, i.e. $g_{\rm m} = 0$. We show that the Standard Bloch Equations [3] are valid even at non-zero TLS temperature, and in the saturated regime $g \gg \gamma$. The total Hamiltonian of the TLS writes $H_{\rm tot}(t) = H_{\rm q}(t) + V_{\rm Bq} + H_{\rm Bq}$, where $H_{\rm Bq}$ is the Hamiltonian of the heat bath, and $V_{\rm Bq}$ is the TLS-bath coupling Hamiltonian. We restrict the study to the case $g, \delta_0 \ll \omega_L$, which corresponds to the standard regime of optical Bloch equations.

The global density matrix in the interaction picture with respect to Hamiltonian $H_{\rm Bq}$ and $H_{\rm q}(t)$, denoted $\rho_{\rm tot}^I(t)$, evolves according to $\dot{\rho}_{\rm tot}^I(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\left[V_{\rm Bq}^I(t), \rho_{\rm tot}^I(t)\right]$, where $V_{\rm Bq}^I(t)$ is the TLS-environment interaction Hamiltonian in the interaction picture. Following the usual microscopic derivation of Lindblad equation [1–3], we average this evolution equation over a time scale Δt_0, chosen very large with respect to the correlation time of the TLS environment $\tau_{\rm q}$, yielding:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\rho_{\rm q}^I(t) =& -\frac{1}{\hbar^2}\int_t^{t+\Delta t_0}dt'\int_t^{t'}dt'' \\ &\mathrm{Tr}_{\rm Bq}\left\{\left[V_{\rm Bq}^I(t'), \left[V_{\rm Bq}^I(t''), \rho_{\rm q}^I(t)\otimes\rho_{\rm Bq}\right]\right]\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Note that terms of first order in $V_{\rm Bq}$ vanish in the trace operation. We have also performed Born and Markov approximations, i.e. we have replaced the density matrix $\rho^I_{\rm tot}(t'')$ in the integrand of (31) by the factorized density matrix $\rho^I_{\rm q}(t)\otimes\rho_{\rm Bq}$, where $\rho_{\rm q}$ (resp. $\rho_{\rm Bq}$) is the reduced density matrix of the TLS (resp. environment).

At this stage, we are not ensured yet that the right-hand term of equation (31) is a proper quantum map [1]. We now average over the terms in the integrand oscillating with frequencies larger than $1/\Delta t_0$ (Secular approximation). To do so, we decompose the part of the coupling operator $V_{\rm Bq}=R_{\rm q}\sigma_x$ acting on the TLS subspace, namely σ_x, into eigenoperators

$$S_\nu(\omega)=\sum_{\epsilon_{s'}-\epsilon_s=\omega}|s\rangle\langle s|\sigma_\nu|s'\rangle\langle s'|,\quad s,s'\in\{+,-\}$$

of the TLS Hamiltonian in the rotating frame $\bar{H}_{\rm q}=\delta_0\sigma_z+\frac{g}{2}\sigma_x$ [1, 6]. $|\pm\rangle=\left(\pm\sqrt{\Omega_R\pm\delta_0}|e\rangle+\sqrt{\Omega_R\mp\delta_0}|g\rangle\right)/\sqrt{2\Omega_R}$ are the dressed states of the TLS, associated with eigenvalues $\epsilon_\pm=\pm\Omega_R/2$, where $\Omega_R=\sqrt{g^2+\delta_0^2}$.

In addition, we compute the trace over the environment, forming correlators of the environment operator such that $\langle R^I_{\rm q}(t')R^I_{\rm q}(t'-\tau)\rangle=\langle R_{\rm q}(\tau)R_{\rm q}(0)\rangle$. These correlators vanish for delay τ larger than $\tau_{\rm q}$, which allows to extend the integral over τ up to infinity. Defining $\alpha_\nu(\omega+\nu\omega_L)=\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{i(\omega+\nu\omega_L)\tau}\langle R_{\rm q}(\tau)R_{\rm q}(0)\rangle$, and using $S_\nu(\omega)^\dagger=S_{-\nu}(-\omega)$, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\rho^I_{\rm q}(t)=\sum_{\omega,\omega'}\sum_{\nu,\nu'}\int_t^{t+\Delta t_0}dt'e^{i(\nu-\nu')\omega_Lt'}\\ \alpha_\nu(\omega+\nu\omega_L)\Big(S_\nu(\omega)e^{i\omega t'}\rho^I_{\rm q}(t)S^\dagger_{\nu'}(\omega')e^{-i\omega't'}\\ -S^\dagger_{\nu'}(\omega')e^{-i\omega't'}S_\nu(\omega)e^{i\omega t'}\rho^I_{\rm q}(t)\Big)+h.c.\end{aligned}\quad(32)$$

The environment at thermal equilibrium is modeled as a set of independent harmonic oscillators, such as $H_{\rm Bq}=\sum_k\hbar\omega_ka^\dagger_ka_k$ and $R_{\rm q}=-i\sum_k g_k(a^\dagger_k-a^\dagger_k)$. The corresponding expressions of the bath correlation functions write [1] $\alpha_+(\omega)=\gamma(\omega)n(\omega)$ and $\alpha_-(-\omega)=\gamma(\omega)(n(\omega)+1)$. We have introduced $\gamma(\omega)=\sum_k g_k\delta_{\rm Dirac}(\omega-\omega_k)$ and $n(\omega)=(e^{\hbar\omega/k_bT_{\rm q}}-1)^{-1}$, where $\delta_{\rm Dirac}$ is the Dirac distribution. From this point, can be derived the Standard Optical Bloch Equations [3], or the Generalized Bloch Equations [5], both consistent with $g,\delta_0\ll\omega_L$. Note that if we want to describe the regime $\Omega_R\gtrsim\omega_L$, we have to choose $\Delta t_0>\Omega_R$ leading to the Floquet Master Equation [6, 7].

Here, we are interested in the regime of Standard Bloch Equations. We do the following approximations, both motivated by the inequality $\Omega_R\ll\omega_L$:

$$\gamma(\omega_L\pm\Omega_R)\simeq\gamma(\omega_L+\delta_0)\quad(33)$$

$$n(\omega_L\pm\Omega_R)\simeq n(\omega_L+\delta_0)\quad(34)$$

for $\nu=\pm,\omega=\pm\Omega_R,0$. Approximation (33) corresponds to neglecting the variation of the environment spectral density around the frequency ω_L, which is relevant for the electro-magnetic vacuum whose spectral density is proportional to $(\omega_L+\omega)^3$, but may become irrelevant for more complex environment, e.g. when the TLS is within an optical cavity [8]. Approximation (34) is valid for $\hbar\omega_L\ll k_BT_{\rm q}$ which is the most common case for optically active TLS. This enables to factorize the sums over ω and ω' using:

$$\sum_\omega\alpha_\nu(\omega+\nu\omega_L)S_\nu(\omega)e^{i\omega t'}\simeq\alpha_\nu(\nu(\omega_L+\delta))\sigma^I_\nu(t')\quad(35)$$

As a consequence, when the master equation is written back in Schrödinger's picture, all the dependence in time t' of the integrand is contained in the prefactor $e^{i(\nu-\nu')\omega_Lt'}$. For $\nu\neq\nu'$, this variation is much faster than the typical evolution time-scale of $\rho^I_{\rm q}(t)$, which is given by the inverse of the damping rate of the TLS $\gamma^{-1}(\omega_0)\equiv(v^2\tau_{\rm q})^{-1}$. We can therefore safely restrict the sum to the term $\nu=\nu'$ (Secular approximation). This corresponds to a description of the dynamics of $\rho^I_{\rm q}(t)$ on a coarse-grained time-scale Δt_0 much longer than the laser period ω_L^{-1}. Going back to Schrödinger picture, we find:

$$\dot\rho_{\rm q}=-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left[H_{\rm q}(t),\rho_{\rm q}\right]+\mathcal{L}^{\delta_0}_{\rm q}\rho_{\rm q}(t)\quad(36)$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}^{\delta_0}_{\rm q}\rho=\gamma(n_q+1)D[\sigma_-]+\gamma n_qD[\sigma_+],\quad(37)$$

where $\gamma=\gamma(\omega_0)$ and $n_q=n(\omega_0)$. This corresponds to the regime of the so-called (Standard) Optical Bloch Equations [3].

When conditions (33)-(34) are no more valid, we have to correct Lindbladian (37) to take into account the different terms coming from all the values of ω, which leads to the Generalized Bloch Equations [5].

[1] H.-P. Breuer, F. Petruccione, The Theory of Open Quantum Systems, Oxford University Press (2002).

[2] C.W. Gardiner, P. Zoller, Quantum noise : a handbook of Markovian and non-Markovian quantum stochastic methods with applications to quantum optics, *Springer* (2004).

[3] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, G. Grynberg, Atom-Photon Interactions: Basic Processes and Applications, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH (2008)

[4] H.J. Carmichael, Statistical Methods in Quantum Optics 1: Master Equations and Fokker-Planck Equations,

Springer (1999).
[5] E. Geva, R. Kosloff, J.L. Skinner, J. Chem. Phys., 102 (21), 1 (1995).
[6] K. Szczygielski, D. Gelbwaser-Klimovsky, R. Alicki, Phys. Rev. E87, 012120 (2013).
[7] M. Langemeyer, M. Holthaus, Physical Review E 89, 012101 (2014).
[8] K. W. Murch, U. Vool, D. Zhou, S. J. Weber, S. M. Girvin, and I. Siddiqi, Phys. Rev. Lett.109, 183602 (2012).